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Crossings

- Given a drawing of a graph, a pair of crossed edges is called a **crossing**.
- Two crossings are **independent** if their vertex sets are pairwise disjoint.
- Two crossings that are not independent are called **dependent**.

![Crossing Examples](https://via.placeholder.com/150)
Motivation
Graphs drawn on Nonplanar Surfaces
Other Work

Král and Stacho’s Work
Heawood’s Formula

Result

Theorem (Král and Stacho)

*If G is a graph drawn in the plane with no dependent crossings, then G is 5-colorable.*

What about other surfaces?
Heawood’s Formula

Theorem (Heawood)

Let $G$ be a graph embedded in a nonplanar surface $\Sigma$. Then

$$\chi(G) \leq h(\Sigma) = \left\lfloor \frac{7 + \sqrt{49 - 24\varepsilon(\Sigma)}}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

How do crossings affect this result?
Main Results

Theorem

Suppose $G$ is a graph drawn on a nonplanar surface $\Sigma$ with no dependent crossings. Then $\chi(G) \leq h + 1$.

Can we do better?
Where Does $K_{h+1}$ Fit?

**Theorem**

If $G$ is a graph drawn on a nonplanar surface $\Sigma$ with no dependent crossings, then $\chi(G) \leq h$. 
Where Does $K_{h+1}$ Fit?

**Conjecture**

If $G$ is a graph drawn on a nonplanar surface $\Sigma$ with no dependent crossings, then $\chi(G) \leq h$.

We can show that $K_8$, $K_9$ and $K_{10}$ cannot be drawn with no dependent crossings on surfaces with Heawood numbers of 7, 8 and 9, respectively.

Moreover, we can show that $K_{17}$ is the first potential counterexample for orientable surfaces.
Main Results

Theorem

Suppose $G$ is a graph drawn on a nonplanar surface $\Sigma$ with no dependent crossings and $G$ does not contain $K_{h+1}$ as a subgraph. Then $\chi(G) \leq h$.

It is difficult to work with drawings directly.
Having an embedding instead of a drawing gives us more tools to work with. Thus, we consider the graph $\eta(G)$ obtained from $G$ by:

- Deleting all crossed edges
- Adding edges to create 4-faces corresponding to the crossings of $G$
- Triangulating the remaining faces that did not correspond to crossings in $G$
Step 1: Assign Charge

Assign charges to the vertices and faces of $G$.

- Usually chosen so that the initial charge is small.

Example: $c(v) = d(v) - 6$ and $c(f) = 2(d(f) - 3)$. 
Step 2: Compute Total Charge

Add up the charges to get the total charge of the graph. In our previous example:

$$
\sum_{v \in V(G)} c(v) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} c(f)
\quad = \quad \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d(v) - 6) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} 2(d(f) - 3) = -6 \varepsilon
$$
Step 3: Discharge

Redistribute charge according to a list of rules.

- Must ensure that no charge is added or lost in this process.
- All discharging happens simultaneously.

For example, we can have each face send an equal portion of its charge to the vertices incident with it.
Step 4: Compute Total Charge

Add up the charges after discharging.

- Use structures in the graph for computation.

We then compare this total charge to the initial charge and see what can be deduced.
We can use this idea to show that every planar triangulation has a vertex of degree at most 5.
Suppose $G$ is a planar triangulation with $\delta(G) \geq 6$.
- Let $c(v) = d(v) - 6$ and $c(f) = 2(d(f) - 3)$.
- Then $c(G) = -12$.
- For discharging, do nothing.
- Each vertex has $c(v) \geq 0$ since $d(v) \geq 6$.
- This means $c(G) \geq 0$, which is a contradiction.
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Results for Embeddings

Given a graph $G$ embedded in a surface, a *cyclic coloring* of $G$ is a coloring in which all vertices incident with the same face receive distinct colors.

**Theorem**

*Suppose $G$ is a graph embedded on a nonplanar surface $\Sigma$ such that:*

1. *each face is either a 3- or 4-face*
2. *the vertices of the 4-faces are pairwise disjoint*
3. *adding a crossing in all 4-faces does not produce $K_{h+1}$ as a subgraph.*

*Then $G$ is cyclically $h$-colorable.*
The proof of Heawood’s Formula relies on the following:

**Theorem**

*Suppose $G$ is a simple graph that has a 2-cell embedding in a nonplanar surface $\Sigma$. Then $G$ has a vertex of degree at most $h - 1$.***
Proposition

No minimal counterexample has a vertex of degree less than $h$ that is not incident with a 4-face.

Proposition

No minimal counterexample has a vertex of degree less than $h - 1$ incident with a 4-face.
Proposition

If $G$ is a minimal counterexample containing $W$, either $v_i v_j$ is an edge or $v_i$ and $v_j$ are incident with the same 4-face for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h - 1\}$. 
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Assign Charge

We charge $G$ as follows:

- $c(v) = d(v) - 6$
- $c(f) = 2(d(f) - 3)$

Thus, $c(G) = -6\varepsilon$. 
Bounds on $-\varepsilon$

Using Heawood’s formula, we have that

$$h \leq \frac{7 + \sqrt{49 - 24\varepsilon}}{2} < h + 1,$$

which we can rewrite to obtain an upper bound

$$-6\varepsilon < h^2 - 5h - 6$$
Charge of $G$

$W$ contributes a charge of at least:

$$h^2 - 6h - 5.$$ 

If $V(G) \neq V(W)$, then the charge of $G$ is at least

$$h^2 - 4h - 12,$$

which exceeds the upper bound of $h^2 - 5h - 6$.

Thus, $V(G) = V(W)$ and, moreover, $G = \eta(K_{h+1})$. 
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Colorings
Given an embedding of a graph $G$ on a nonplanar surface, the \textit{face-width} of $G$ is the smallest number of points that a noncontractible closed curve can have in common with $G$.

**Theorem**

Suppose $G$ is a graph embedded on a surface $\Sigma$ with face-width at least 3 and $\varepsilon < 0$ such that all faces of size greater than 3 are at least distance 3 apart. Then $G$ can be cyclically colored with $n = \max\{h + 1, \Delta_f(G) + 1\}$ colors.
A total coloring is a coloring of the vertices and edges of $G$ such that any pair of incident or adjacent elements receive distinct colors.

**Theorem**

Suppose $G$ is a graph embedded on a surface $\Sigma$ with $\varepsilon < 0$ and $\Delta(G) > 4h - 5$. Then $\chi_t(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$. 
**Corollary**

Suppose $G$ is a graph drawn with no dependent crossings on a surface $\Sigma$ with $\varepsilon < 0$ and $\Delta(G) > 4h - 5$. Then

$$\chi_t(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 3.$$ 

**Theorem**

Suppose $G$ is a graph drawn with no dependent crossings on a surface $\Sigma$ with $\varepsilon < 0$ and $\Delta(G) > 4h - 4$. Then

$$\chi_t(G) = \Delta(G) + 1.$$